ZSCALER™ | PRESIDIO®

ThreatLabz
2025_AI Security
Report

Table_of
Contents_

Executive Summary_

Another year in the still new "era of AI" has come and gone, marked by game-changing advancements, rising adoption across industries, and high-profile challenges.

Enterprises now see artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) as essential for growth, driving efficiency, smarter decision-making, and faster innovation. On the other hand, AI adoption brings serious security risks, from unsanctioned usage ("shadow AI") to data exposure. Even more concerning, threat actors seem to have the upper hand as they weaponize these same tools to amplify attacks. What once required skill now takes minimal effort. What once took hours now takes seconds.

This shift was on full display in 2024. GenAI became a cybercriminal's social engineering machine. Today, phishing emails mimic trusted colleagues with eerie accuracy. Deepfake technology turns voices and videos into weapons of deception.

In 2025, the power and perils of AI loom larger than ever. Threat actors will continue to push the boundaries of AI's malicious capabilities. Yet, AI isn't just enabling attacks—it's also now a critical line of defense, powering the fight against these attacks.

The Zscaler ThreatLabz 2025 AI Security Report examines the many facets of AI in cybersecurity, from AI/ML adoption to AI-driven threats and security capabilities.

Analyzing 536.5 billion transactions captured across the Zscaler Zero Trust Exchange™ from AI/ML tools between February and December 2024, ThreatLabz discovered both surprising and unsurprising shifts in usage trends by enterprises worldwide.

ChatGPT drove the most AI/ML transactions, making up nearly half of the total volume. From an industry perspective, the Finance & Insurance and Manufacturing verticals drove the most transactions as top adopters of AI. However, increased adoption didn't mean unfettered access: a large percentage of AI/ML transactions were actively blocked.

Beyond usage trends, ThreatLabz discovered real-world threat scenarios from AI-enhanced phishing to fake AI platforms. This report also explores recent developments in areas that will undoubtedly influence AI in 2025 and beyond, including agentic AI, the emergence of DeepSeek, and the evolving regulatory landscape.

As AI/ML capabilities evolve and the threats they enable grow, the imperative is clear, more sophisticated, strong security controls, zero trust architecture, and AI-powered defenses are no longer optional—they're essential. Keep reading for more insights and actionable strategies to help your organization securely adopt AI while staying ahead of AI-driven threats.

Key_
Findings

ThreatLabz **analyzed 536.5 billion AI and ML transactions** in the Zscaler cloud from February 2024—December 2024. The key findings that follow are based on data spanning varying time periods* for comparative analysis.

AI/ML tool usage saw an exponential rise year-over-year, with 36x more transactions (+3,464.6%) from 800+ AI/ML applications in the Zscaler cloud, highlighting the explosive growth in enterprise interest and dependence on these technologies.

Enterprises blocked 59.9% of all AI/ML transactions, reflecting concerns around AI data security and the steps companies are taking in shaping their approaches to AI governance.

ChatGPT remains the top application by transaction volume, **accounting for nearly half of all AI/ML transactions (45.2%) from known applications,** despite ongoing debates over its security implications.

ChatGPT is also the most-blocked AI application among **known applications,** followed by Grammarly, Microsoft Copilot, QuillBot, and Wordtune, reinforcing growing interest and caution when it comes to AI-powered writing and productivity assistants in enterprise settings.

* Time period variations:

- "Year-over-year" percentage changes compare data from April—December 2024 and the same period in 2023.
- Country- and region-specific findings are based on data collected between July—December 2024.

The Zscaler Zero Trust Exchange tracks ChatGPT transactions independently from other OpenAI transactions at large.

Enterprises are sending significant volumes of data to AI tools, with a total of 3624 TB transferred by AI/ML applications.

The Finance & Insurance and Manufacturing industries generate the most AI/ML traffic, with 28.4% and 21.6% share of all AI/ML transactions in the Zscaler cloud, respectively, followed by Services (18.5%), Technology (10.1%), Healthcare (9.6%), and Government (4.2%), showing that AI adoption varies significantly across industries.

The top 5 countries generating the most AI/ML transactions are the United States, India, United Kingdom, Germany, and Japan.

AI continues to amplify cyber risks, fueled by advancements in deepfake technology, emerging open source AI models, and autonomous attack automation—undoubtedly making threats more adaptive, targeted, and difficult to detect.

AI and ML_
Usage Trends

AI/ML tool usage surged globally in 2024, with enterprises integrating AI into operations and employees embedding it in daily workflows. Zscaler tracked more than 800 AI/ML applications in the Zscaler cloud, a considerably higher number compared to the previous analysis period in 2023, reflecting the expanding enterprise adoption and reliance on AI-powered tools.

AI/ML transactions overview

Growing security risks haven't slowed the exponential rise in AI and ML transactions. From February through December 2024, transaction volumes surged from 3.7 billion to 49 billion, marking a twelvefold increase. AI/ML activity peaked in July, reaching 82.7 billion transactions.

### AI USAGE TRENDS BY TRANSACTION VOLUME

Figure 1: AI transactions from February 2024-December 2024

The scale of AI/ML activity increased dramatically to 536.5 billion total AI/ML transactions—a 3,464.6% year–over–year surge compared to our last analysis period. A significant portion of this AI/ML traffic comes from widely used applications such as ChatGPT, Grammarly, Microsoft Copilot, and other AI/ML tools. However, a large share of the transactions **(53.1%)** remain categorized as "General AI Applications" within the Zscaler cloud, underscoring the rapid proliferation of AI use across enterprises. This classification reflects AI/ML transactions that do not yet belong to defined AI applications but are nonetheless detected as AI/ML traffic via Zscaler's AI/ML–powered URL categorization, which can analyze text, images, and other content to identify AI–related activity.

To provide a more precise and detailed view of AI/ML adoption patterns amongst enterprises, ThreatLabz analysis focuses on classified AI/ML applications. By taking this approach, we highlight AI adoption trends through established enterprise AI/ML applications.

SHARE OF TOTAL TRANSACTIONS

Classified AI Applications
(e.g.,ChatGPT and Grammarly)

46.9%

General AI Applications

53.1%

Among known AI/ML applications, a handful of market-leading tools generate the majority of transactions. The following top five tools share a common focus on enhancing productivity, communication, and automation.

- **ChatGPT** makes up nearly half of AI and ML transactions (45.2%), reminding us of its extensive adoption across industries. Learn more in the **ChatGPT usage trends section.**

- **Grammarly** ranks second (24.8%), reflecting its growing popularity among enterprise users for refining writing and grammar.

- **Microsoft Copilot** holds the third spot (12.5%) as enterprises rely on it to automate tasks in Microsoft 365 apps like Word, Excel, and Outlook.

- **DeepL**, a leading AI-powered translation tool, follows (6.4%) as it has gained traction among global enterprises seeking high-quality multilingual communication.

- **QuillBot** rounds out the top five (2.0%) as another go-to writing assistant offering paraphrasing and summarization.

TOP 20 AI/ML APPLICATIONS BY TRANSACTION VOLUME

Application	Total Transactions
ChatGPT	113,869,583,355
Grammarly	62,490,051,574
Microsoft Copilot	31,551,774,637
DeepL	16,012,344,908
QuillBot	5,130,879,211
Custom Applications	4,297,439,333
OpenAI	2,995,303,521
Wordtune	2,552,030,384
Codeium	2,439,268,698
Perplexity	1,806,093,093
Loom	662,917,153
ZineOne	571,034,336
Synthesia	570,918,959
Writer	512,811,065
Poe	433,139,217
Claude	379,841,841
Google Gemini	317,583,902
Otter.ai	310,594,881
Runway	256,927,467
Yellow Messenger	245,412,258

TOP AI APPLICATIONS

Figure 2: Top AI applications by transaction volume

Top Application Categories

1. Productivity Assistants (60.4%)

Examples: ChatGPT, Microsoft Copilot, Perplexity

Nearly two-thirds of AI/ML transactions in the Zscaler cloud fall under the category of AI-powered assistants. These applications encompass a wide swath of use cases, from AI-driven chat interfaces and research tools to workflow automation and enterprise integration—all sharing a common goal of boosting enterprise productivity.

2. Writing & Content Generation (28.3%)

Examples: Grammarly, Quillbot, Wordtune

The second largest share of AI/ML application activity comes from the writing and content generation category. AI-powered writing tools have rapidly become integral to enterprise content and communications, streamlining tasks such as editing, enhancing clarity, and other grammar refinements.

From productivity to predicament: know the risks

The dominant role of AI in enterprise productivity and writing presents significant risks, including data leakage, prompt injection attacks, compliance violations, AI hallucinations, IP exposure, privacy concerns, and potential overreliance. Learn how to mitigate these risks and securely embrace AI in the section, **Best Practices for Secure Enterprise AI Adoption**.

3. Language & Translation (5.8%)

Examples: DeepL, LanguageTool

AI-powered language and translation tools account for 14.6 billion transactions. These solutions are streamlining global business communications, enabling faster, scalable multilingual content creation, though concerns around accuracy and data privacy persist.

4. Custom Applications (1.7%)

As organizations seek AI-driven competitive edges, custom AI applications account for more than 4 billion transactions. Enterprises are leveraging tailored AI solutions for use cases spanning predictive analytics, fraud detection, automation, and more.

### 5. Coding Assistants (1.3%)

Examples: Codeium, Claude

AI-powered coding assistants are becoming more common in software development, driving 3+ billion transactions. They help developers work faster, but enterprises must be aware of the risks, from quality concerns to intellectual property issues.

6. Visual & Creative Tools (1.1%)

Examples: Loom, Synthesia

AI's role as a creative partner is expanding, as visual and creative AI tools generated 2.7 billion transactions. Video creation tools lead the category, enabling organizations to scale video content production efforts and content output.

Figure 3: Transactions by application category

TRANSACTIONS BY APPLICATION CATEGORY

Category	Transactions
Productivity Assistants	70,916,692,869
Writing & Content Generation	14,638,307,672
Language & Translation	31,551,774,637
Custom Applications	4,354,146,062
Coding Assistants	3,205,630,565
Visual/Creative Tools	4,297,439,333
Data Analysis & Automation	2,723,874,910
Customer Support & Chatbots	1,172,151,320
Transcription	354,967,757
Search Engine	297,174,973
Speech and Audio Tools	191,295,786

Transaction volumes alone don't tell the full story of enterprise AI usage. ThreatLabz also analyzed the amount of data transferred between enterprises and AI tools, totaling 3624 terabytes (TB). By this measure, ChatGPT remains the top application, with 1481 TB of data transferred. The sheer volume of data shows that enterprises aren't just using ChatGPT often—but at scale.

Following ChatGPT in data transfer volume, Grammarly, OpenAI, and Microsoft Copilot rank highly, underscoring their role in AI-powered content refinement and model training.

Other notable tools contributing significant data transfer volumes include DeepL, Synthesia, and Wordtune, each supporting various enterprise needs, from productivity enhancements to AI-driven video messaging.

Keeping an eye on both transaction volume and data transfer trends will be key to integrating AI effectively while staying ahead of potential risks.

SHARE OF DATA TRANSFERRED BY AI/ML APPLICATIONS

QuillBot
1.6%

Wordtune
1.8%

DeepL
4.4%

Microsoft
Copilot
12.2%

OpenAI
12.4%

Grammarly
21.0%

ChatGPT
40.9%

Grammarly
21.0%

Figure 4: Top AI/ML applications by the percentage of total data transferred

Blocked AI/ML transactions

Enterprise AI growth is also meeting resistance as organizations strengthen controls to mitigate data security, privacy, and compliance risks. Currently, enterprises block 59.9% of all AI/ML transactions in the Zscaler cloud, totaling more than 321.9 billion blocked transactions between February—December 2024.


Figure 5: Number of AI/ML transactions blocked between February-December 2024

Interestingly, the most widely used AI tools are also the most frequently blocked, starting with ChatGPT. The GenAI chatbot remains a primary focus of security measures to prevent data loss, accounting for 54% of total blocks.

Adobe.io, Adobe's cloud-based developer platform which provides APIs and AI-powered automation tools for Adobe products, makes up 68% of all blocked AI and ML domain transactions. This trend signals a proactive effort by enterprises to prevent unauthorized data transfers and protect proprietary content.

Enterprises are walking an increasingly narrow tightrope between AI innovation and security. As AI adoption keeps growing, organizations will have to tighten the reins on risks while still harnessing the power of AI/ML to stay competitive.

Top Blocked AI Applications	Top Blocked AI Domains
1.ChatGPT	adobe.io
2.Grammarly	chatgpt.com
3.Microsoft Copilot	grammarly.com
4.QuillBot	microsoft.com
5.Wordtune	quillbot.com
6.Codeium	deepl.com
7.DeepL	openai.com
8.Drift	bing.com
9.Poe	Wordtune.com
10.Securiti	Codeium.com

Data loss to AI/ML apps

As AI/ML activity surges in the enterprise, so does the risk of data exposure. AI-powered productivity assistants and chatbots, code assistants, and document analyzers can inadvertently expose sensitive enterprise data. This challenge is compounded by users unknowingly sharing confidential information with AI models that lack enterprise-grade security controls.

Numerous AI/ML tools have been flagged for data loss prevention (DLP) violations in the Zscaler cloud. These violations represent instances where sensitive enterprise data—such as financial data, PII, source code, and medical data—was intended to be sent to an AI application, and that transaction was blocked by Zscaler policy. Data loss would have occurred in these AI apps without Zscaler's DLP enforcement. As a result, the violations serve as a key indicator of real world AI data loss trends.

AI/ML APPLICATIONS WITH THE MOST DLP POLICY VIOLATIONS

Application	DLP Violations
ChatGPT	2,915,502
Wordtune	879,131
Microsoft Copilot	257,869
DeepL	68,916
Codeium	41,041
Claude	40,993
Synthesia	22,975
Grammarly	7,157
DataRobot	5,440
QuillBot	4,649
Google Gemini	4,227
You.com	2,341
Perplexity	2,129
DeepAI	1,472
Poe	1,399

These tools share a common risk profile due to their cloud-based processing and use in productivity workflows, where they often handle sensitive enterprise data. The violations highlight the growing need for AI-aware DLP controls to ensure organizations can embrace AI securely while preventing data leaks.

A closer look at the most common AI-related DLP violations reveals that personally identifiable information (PII), proprietary source code, and healthcare-related data are at risk of exposure.

TOP 10 AI DLP VIOLATIONS

1	Social Security Number	6	Diseases leakage
2	Name leakage (US)	7	Medical
3	Adult content	8	Name leakage (Canada)
4	Self-harm & cyber bullying content	9	Brazilian Individual Taxpayer Registry ID
5	Source code	10	Drugs leakage

Examining the DLP violations tied to ChatGPT and Microsoft Copilot—two of the most widely used enterprise AI tools and top offenders of DLP violations—reveals frequent exposure of PII, health-related data, and source code.

ChatGPT DLP Violations	Microsoft Copilot DLP Violations
SSN, name leakage (US), diseases leakage, name leakage (Canada), Brazilian Individual Taxpayer Registry ID	SSN, drugs leakage, diseases leakage, treatments leakage, financial, source code

For deeper insights into ChatGPT usage patterns, check out the **ChatGPT usage trends section**. To learn how to mitigate data loss from GenAI applications, read **5 steps to securely integrate GenAI tools** below.

AI usage by industry

Enterprise adoption of AI and ML tools varies widely by industry, with **Finance & Insurance** leading the charge, driving **28.4%** of AI/ML transactions. As financial services continue to embrace AI-driven efficiencies for critical functions like fraud detection, customer service automation, and risk assessments, their AI transaction volume has surpassed **Manufacturing,** which now holds the second spot at **21.6%** of total AI/ML transactions.

The **Services (18.5%), Technology (10.1%),** and **Healthcare (9.6%)** industries follow, each adopting AI at different speeds based on their unique operational priorities. While the Services sector is likely ramping up AI usage in terms of customer support and operational optimizations, technology firms continue to drive AI research and innovation. Healthcare adoption remains lower in comparison, reflecting a more reserved stance due to heightened regulatory and security concerns.

SHARE OF AI TRANSACTIONS BY INDUSTRY VERTICAL

Retail & Wholesale
3.1%

Others
3.4%

Government
4.2%

Healthcare
9.6%

Technology
& Communication
10.1%

Services
18.5 %

Education
0.3%

Finance &
Insurance
28.4%

Manufacturing
21.6%

Figure 6: Industries driving the largest proportions of AI transactions

AI TRANSACTION TRENDS BY VERTICAL

- Finance & Insurance
- Manufacturing
- Services
- Technology & Communication
- Healthcare
- Government
- Others
- Retail & Wholesale
- Education

Figure 7: AI/ML transaction trends among the highest-volume industries

Industries are also bumping up efforts to secure AI/ML transactions, but the volume of blocked AI/ML activity varies. Finance & Insurance blocks 39.5% of AI transactions. This trend aligns with the industry's stringent compliance landscape and the need to safeguard financial and personal data.

Manufacturing blocks 19.2% of AI transactions, suggesting a strategic approach where AI is widely used but monitored closely for security risks, whereas Services takes a more balanced approach, blocking 15% of AI transactions. On the other hand, Healthcare blocks only 10.8% of AI transactions. Despite handling vast amounts of health data and PII, Healthcare organizations are still lagging in securing AI tools, with security teams catching up to rapid innovation. This trend highlights delayed protective measures, keeping overall AI transactions in Healthcare relatively low compared to other industries.

SHARE OF BLOCKED AI TRANSACTIONS BY VERTICAL

Vertical	% of AI Transactions Blocked
Finance & Insurance	39.5%
Manufacturing	19.2%
Services	15.0%
Healthcare	10.8%
Technology & Communication	6.9%
Government	4.5%
Others	2.2%
Retail & Wholesale	1.6%
Education	0.3%

Industry spotlights

Finance & Insurance doubles down on AI investment

TOP 5 AI APPS IN FINANCE & INSURANCE

1	2	3	4	5
ChatGPT	Microsoft Copilot	Grammarly	User Defined Applications	DeepL

As the leading driver of AI/ML transactions in the Zscaler cloud (152.4B), the Finance & Insurance industry is deeply invested in AI's potential. These industries rely on AI to analyze financial transactions in real time, detect fraudulent activity, and accelerate claims processing, to name a few critical tasks that help them save time and money.

Beyond automation, generative AI is reshaping financial operations. Tools like ChatGPT and Microsoft Copilot, which rank among the most used applications by Finance & Insurance companies in the Zscaler cloud, help financial institutions summarize reports, automate workflows, and assist with compliance tasks. Custom AI applications are also in the top five for financial services organizations, underscoring a deep investment in AI-driven solutions. Meanwhile, the high transaction volume for DeepL suggests a growing need for AI-powered translation in global finance.

As Finance & Insurance organizations increasingly integrate AI, they face growing challenges related to security, regulatory compliance, and ethical concerns, including data privacy, bias, and accuracy. AI-powered bots now account for a significant portion of blocked transactions, exploiting APIs and authentication workflows to bypass security controls.

To counter these threats, organizations are increasingly adopting AI-driven security models for behavioral anomaly detection and adaptive risk-based authentication. However, adversarial AI techniques continue to evolve, requiring continuous monitoring and advanced zero trust strategies to mitigate emerging risks.

By prioritizing oversight and ethical AI use, financial institutions can safeguard data integrity, ensure fairness, and maintain public trust across banking, insurance, and other financial sectors.

Manufacturing is harnessing the power of AI

TOP 5 AI APPS IN MANUFACTURING

1	2	3	4	5
ChatGPT	Grammarly	Microsoft Copilot	DeepL	QuillBot

The second largest share of AI/ML traffic (21.6%) in our research comes from Manufacturing customers. AI adoption in this industry is a key driver of the fourth industrial revolution— Industry 4.0—which is redefining Manufacturing with smart factories, IoT-connected devices, and predictive maintenance.

Manufacturers are increasingly leveraging AI to enhance operations, from predicting equipment failures by analyzing extensive machinery and sensor data to streamlining supply chain management, inventory control, and logistics. Additionally, AI-powered robotics and automation systems are significantly boosting manufacturing efficiency, performing tasks with greater speed and precision than human workers, thereby reducing costs and minimizing errors.

However, data security remains a concern as Manufacturing accounts for 19.2% of blocked AI/ML traffic, indicating a cautious approach to AI adoption. This caution stems from concerns over data security and the necessity to carefully evaluate and approve AI applications, while restricting those that pose higher risks. For example, electronics manufacturers may implement strict protocols to ensure that only AI applications meeting stringent security standards are integrated into their operations, effectively mitigating potential vulnerabilities.

Healthcare sees an uptick in AI activity

TOP 5 AI APPS IN HEALTHCARE

1	2	3	4	5
ChatGPT	Grammarly	Microsoft Copilot	DeepL	QuillBot

Healthcare holds the fifth spot for AI/ML usage in the Zscaler cloud, accounting for 9.6% of traffic, up 4.1% from last year. Yet, this year, the Healthcare industry has only blocked 10.8% of all AI transactions, a significant decrease from 17.23% in 2024. Several factors contribute to this change.

Rapid integration of AI/ML tools has led to a surge in AI-related activities. Applications like ChatGPT—the most-used AI/ML application by Healthcare organizations in the Zscaler cloud—assists healthcare professionals with diagnosis support, medical research summaries, and patient documentation. However, the increase in AI/ML activity likely makes it challenging to distinguish between legitimate and malicious AI transactions, potentially resulting in fewer blocks. As Healthcare organizations become more reliant on AI for patient care and administrative tasks, there is a growing emphasis on enabling AI functionalities.

AI/ML in Healthcare offers significant advancements but also presents notable risks. A primary concern is data privacy; AI systems often require extensive patient data, raising issues about the security and confidentiality of sensitive information. Additionally, AI-generated content can sometimes contain inaccuracies or lead to potential misdiagnoses or treatment errors. Moreover, the increasing sophistication of AI-driven cyberattacks, such as AI-generated phishing campaigns, poses heightened security challenges. Therefore, while AI/ML technologies can enhance the Healthcare industry and ultimately patient care, it is imperative to implement robust security measures and maintain human oversight to mitigate these risks.

Government recognizes potential in AI

TOP 5 AI APPS IN GOVERNMENT

1	2	3	4	5
Grammarly	Microsoft Copilot	ChatGPT	QuillBot	DeepL

Government usage of AI/ML has increased to 4.2% this year, driven by the pursuit of enhanced service delivery and efficient policymaking. This uptick can likely be attributed to AI's potential to streamline operations, improve citizen engagement, and inform data-driven decisions.

Among AI applications tracked in the Zscaler cloud, Grammarly is the most-used tool by Government entities, suggesting a focus on improving government-citizen communications. The use of Microsoft Copilot, the number two AI tool for Government, further points to interest in AI-powered automation for benefits like administrative efficiency.

However, this rapid integration necessitates robust security measures to mitigate associated risks. Data privacy is a primary concern, as AI systems often require extensive access to sensitive information, increasing the potential for breaches. Security vulnerabilities are another critical issue; AI systems can become targets for sophisticated cyberattacks aimed at extracting sensitive data. Additionally, algorithmic bias can lead to unfair or discriminatory outcomes, undermining public trust. To mitigate these risks, it is essential to implement robust security measures, establish clear governance frameworks, and maintain human oversight throughout the life cycle.

ChatGPT usage trends

ChatGPT hit its two-year mark in 2024, and its enterprise adoption and global popularity show no signs of waning. With the rollout of memory capabilities and real-time web search, ChatGPT is smarter, faster, and more useful than ever—driving even higher adoption. In the first half of the year alone, global ChatGPT transactions in the Zscaler cloud totaled 90.7 billion, cementing its place as the most-used generative AI tool.


Figure 8: ChatGPT transactions from February-December 2024

However, industry adoption of ChatGPT doesn't mirror overall AI/ML usage trends exactly— it has one notable outlier. Although Finance & Insurance drove the highest volume of overall AI/ML transactions, it accounts for only 11.4% of ChatGPT usage. This lower adoption rate likely reflects stricter security, compliance, and data privacy concerns, which limit how generative AI is used in regulated environments.

Manufacturing, which ranks second in total AI transactions, drives the highest volume of ChatGPT transactions. This suggests that manufacturers are tapping into generative AI for everything from technical documentation to automated workflows. Close behind, the Services, Healthcare, and Technology sectors also make heavy use of ChatGPT.

CHATGPT USAGE BY VERTICAL

- Others 2.4%
- Retail & Wholesale 3.9%
- Finance & Insurance 11.4%
- Technology & Communication 11.5%
- Healthcare 12.7 %
- Manufacturing 29.1%
- Services 27.1%

Figure 9: Industries driving the largest proportions of ChatGPT transactions

FROM CHATGPT TO DEEPSEEK: THE EVOLUTION OF AI CHATBOTS

Leading AI models like ChatGPT (OpenAI) and Claude (Anthropic) dominate the chatbot landscape, representing a large percentage of AI/ML transactions in the Zscaler cloud. These applications are widely used in enterprise environments for content creation, coding assistance, data analysis, and workflow automation.

While mainstream models impose safety measures to some degree, open source alternatives introduce new risks—which is where DeepSeek comes in.

DeepSeek is China's answer to ChatGPT. However, unlike ChatGPT which has built-in safety restrictions, DeepSeek allows unrestricted access, making it a powerful but risky tool. Its open source nature raises concerns about data security and sovereignty, and the lack of security controls means enterprises and end users need to carefully assess the risks before using DeepSeek. Similarly, Grok, developed by xAI, takes a more flexible approach to AI interactions, offering fewer constraints compared to traditional models.

Read more about the emergence of DeepSeek and its risks in this report's section on **DeepSeek and open-source AI**.

AI usage by country

The use of AI is accelerating globally, with nations ramping up investments to drive innovation and stay competitive. The United States and India dominate in the number of AI/ML transactions in the Zscaler cloud, reflecting strong commitments to research, infrastructure, and even AI-driven start-ups.

The **United States (46.2%)** drove the most transactions while **India (8.7%)** emerged as the second-largest contributor.

The United States' relatively flexible regulatory environment (see **The Evolving Scope of AI Regulations**), which fosters AI experimentation and deployment, may give US enterprises a key advantage. Unlike regions with stricter AI laws, the US offers greater flexibility to develop and integrate AI technologies. This is reflected in a reported $13.8 billion investment in enterprise AI applications by enterprises in 2024—a sixfold increase from the previous year.

India continues to establish itself as a significant player in the AI race, with investments across key sectors, including Finance & Insurance, Healthcare, Manufacturing, and Government services. With strong government investments such as the National AI Strategy[1], and growing private sector investment, India is leveraging AI to enhance automation, analytics, and cybersecurity. However, challenges persist—data privacy concerns, regulatory uncertainty, and a shortage of AI talent—that hinder widespread adoption.

Despite rapid advancements, countries face barriers to AI adoption. Strict data privacy laws, such as GDPR, introduce compliance challenges, while the high cost of AI implementation and a shortage of skilled talent creates adoption roadblocks, especially in emerging markets. Security concerns—AI-driven cyberthreats, algorithmic bias, and so on—further complicate adoption and usage. As countries and governments navigate these challenges, a strategic approach that looks to combine regulatory clarity, investment in AI education, and robust cybersecurity frameworks will be critical for global AI adoption at scale.

---

[1] Niti Aayog, National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence, accessed February 28, 2025.

SHARE OF AI TRANSACTIONS BY COUNTRY

Spain
1.4%

Philippines
1.6%

France
2.6%

Australia
3.3%

Canada
3.6%

Japan
3.6%

Germany
4.2%

United Kingdom
5.1%

India
8.7 %

United States
46.2%

Figure 10: Countries driving the largest proportions of AI transactions

EMEA insights

A closer look at the Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA) region reveals that the largest amount of AI transactions come from the United Kingdom (22.3%), Germany (18.4%), and France (11.3%). While the UK accounts for only 5.1% of AI transactions globally, it once again represents more than 20% of AI traffic in EMEA, making it the leader in the region.

Germany has seen an increase in the number of AI transactions year-over-year (+5.74%), with more companies investing in AI technologies. This surge is evident in manufacturing and services sectors, which is driven by the need for automation and efficiency. France has also been positioning itself as a global AI contender, with 109 billion euros in private investment by President Emmanuel Macron in February 2025.[2]

EMEA COUNTRY BREAKDOWN

Belgium
2.0%

Saudi Arabia
2.5%

South Africa
2.5%

United Arab Emirates
2.9%

Italy
3.2%

The Netherlands
3.6%

Poland
3.9%

Switzerland
4.1%

Spain
6.0%

United Kingdom
22.3%

Germany
18.4%

France
11.3%

Figure 11: Share of AI transactions by country in the EMEA region

## EMEA TRANSACTIONS BY MONTH

Figure 12: AI transactions from July-December 2024 in the EMEA region

---

[2] CNBC, France unveils 109-billion-euro AI investment as Europe looks to keep up with U.S., February 10, 2025.

APAC insights

Diving deeper into the Asia–Pacific (APAC) region, ThreatLabz observed that the largest shares of AI transactions come from India (36.4%), Japan (15.2%), and Australia (13.6%).

Although Japan has seen a year–over–year increase in AI transactions (+5.7%), the country has taken a more cautious approach to AI technologies. Daily usage of AI remains relatively low due to cultural factors[3] and stringent regulatory environments. Australia is more actively developing frameworks to ensure responsible AI usage, with a 3.6% increase in AI transactions year–over–year. The Philippines is also experiencing accelerated AI adoption, with the AI sector set to grow at an annual rate of 41.5% between 2025 and 2030.[4] However, this shift raises concerns about job displacement, as workforce upskilling and strategic policy interventions are needed to balance technological advancement with employment stability.[5]

APAC COUNTRY BREAKDOWN

South Korea
2.3%

Malaysia
2.9%

Hong Kong
3.0%

China
3.4%

New Zealand
4.6%

Singapore
5.6%

Philippines
6.5%

Australia
13.6 %

India
36.4%

Japan
15.2%

Figure 13: Share of AI transactions by country in the APAC region

APAC TRANSACTIONS BY MONTH

Figure 14: AI transactions from July–December 2024 in the APAC region

---

[3] World Economic Forum, Reconciling tradition and innovation: Japan's path to global AI leadership, December 17, 2024.
[4] The Manila Times, AI breakthroughs PH businesses need to know, February 23, 2025
[5] Inquirer.net, IMF sees 36% of PH jobs eased or displaced by AI, December 27, 2024.

Enterprise AI Risks_ and Real-World Threat Scenarios

Core risks of enterprise AI adoption

Bringing AI into your organization brings a mix of opportunities and risks, many of which are still evolving. AI-powered systems create new attack surfaces, and GenAI and LLMs are especially vulnerable to threats that can manipulate AI outputs, introduce bias, or leak sensitive information. These are some of the biggest risks enterprises must address.

Data quality issues ("garbage in, garbage out")

The integrity of AI outputs depends on the quality of input data. Poor-quality inputs, outdated information, or biased training data can result in flawed or misleading outputs, which can ultimately adversely affect business decisions and security. AI models are also prone to hallucinations, where they generate incorrect or fabricated information that, if taken at face value, could lead to spreading of misinformation; worse, threat actors could exploit hallucinations to introduce malicious payloads. A broader concern is data poisoning, where threat actors manipulate an AI model's training data to generate false outputs, embed biases, or introduce vulnerabilities.

Exposure of IP and non-public information

AI applications often process business-critical and sensitive information like proprietary research and internal algorithms. If this data is input into third-party AI models without strict safeguards, it may be retained, repurposed, or even exposed, leading to intellectual property theft. A particularly concerning attack vector is model inversion, where threat actors can reverse-engineer AI models to extract sensitive information from their training data. This could result in leaks of confidential business, personal, or proprietary data.

Data privacy and security risks

AI tools handle a lot of sensitive data, so it's crucial to know where that data goes. Some AI models store inputs for training, use them for advertising, or even share them with third parties, leading to privacy concerns and compliance issues (e.g., GDPR, HIPAA). Plus, not all AI providers have the same security standards, meaning some tools might be more vulnerable to data leaks, unauthorized access, or adversarial attacks. Enterprises need to evaluate the security of AI applications, taking into account factors like data protection and industry best practices before bringing them into their ecosystem.

To Block or Not to Block: Mitigating Shadow AI and Data Exposure Risks

As enterprises integrate AI into their workflows, they must also confront the risks of shadow AI—the unauthorized use of AI tools that can lead to data leaks and security blind spots. Without proper controls, sensitive business information could be exposed, retained by third-party AI models, or even used to train external systems. To prevent these risks, organizations must take a proactive approach by addressing key questions:

1 Do we have full visibility into employee AI app usage?

Enterprises must have total visibility into the AI/ML tools in use and the corporate traffic to those tools to assess data exposure risks, detect shadow AI, and prevent unauthorized access.

2 Can we enforce granular access controls for AI apps?

Enterprises should be able to implement granular access and segmentation for specified, approved AI tools at the department, team, and user levels. Conversely, they should use URL filtering to block access to unsecure or unauthorized AI applications.

3 What data security measures do specific AI apps offer?

With thousands of AI tools in everyday use, enterprises should know how their tools handle data retention, model training, and third-party data sharing. Some AI providers allow enterprises to host private, secure data servers—a best practice—while others may retain all user input, use it for model training, or even sell it to third parties, posing significant data security risks.

4 Is DLP in place to prevent sensitive data from being leaked?

Enterprises should enable DLP solutions to prevent sensitive information like proprietary code or financial, legal, customer, and personal data from leaving the enterprise—or even being entered into AI tools—particularly where input data could be stored or misused.

5 Do we have appropriate logging of AI interactions?

Enterprises should collect detailed logs to track prompts, queries, and the data entered into AI tools. This provides essential visibility into how employees are using AI tools and helps organizations identify potential security and compliance risks.

DeepSeek and open-source AI: the risk of frontier models in your pocket

The AI race is heating up in 2025 with China's DeepSeek, an open source LLM, challenging the likes of leading American AI companies OpenAI, Anthropic, and Meta while disrupting AI development strategies and the roadmap for foundational models as we knew it. In short: DeepSeek is open source (or open-weight), performs relatively well compared to state-of-the-art models, and is extremely price-competitive, whether in terms of self-hosting or leveraging the low-cost DeepSeek API. However, as we will explore in the next few sections, this kind of development may come with security risks.

Historically, the development of frontier AI models was restricted to a small group of elite **"builders"**—companies like OpenAI and Meta that poured billions of dollars into training massive foundational models. These base models were then leveraged by **"enhancers"** who built applications and AI agents on top of them, before reaching a broader audience of **"adopters"** or end users.

DeepSeek has disrupted this structure by dramatically lowering the cost of training and deploying base LLMs, making it possible for a much larger pool of players to enter the AI space. Meanwhile, with the release of xAI's Grok 3 model, the company has announced that Grok 2 will become open source—meaning that, together with the likes of Mistral's Small 3 model, users have even more choice when it comes to open source AI.

This shift effectively democratizes AI—and also raises inevitable security, privacy, and data sovereignty concerns.

---

[6] SemiAnalysis, DeepSeek Debates: Chinese Leadership On Cost, True Training Cost, Closed Model Margin Impacts, January 31, 2025.

The new economics of AI

In general, the competitive pressures from both private and open source AI builders are commoditizing AI intelligence—driving down the costs for end users, even as AI models become more capable. Moreover, DeepSeek specifically may have offered a model to drive down the costs of training AI models for builders.

Training AI has traditionally demanded huge computer power and high costs. For example, models like OpenAI's GPT-4 reportedly required more than US$100 million to develop. By stark contrast, DeepSeek's V3 base model was allegedly built for less than $6 million, suggesting that cutting-edge AI doesn't have to come with a massive price tag (though at least one analysis has claimed the true capex and training cost may be well over $1 billion)[6]. Even so, by combining reinforcement and incentivized learning, DeepSeek reduces development costs by 25 times, allowing the AI to improve on its own with minimal human intervention. Its API costs just $0.55 per million input tokens—far less than OpenAI's $15—making advanced AI more affordable. Moreover, its open source MIT license allows organizations and users to customize and optimize the model for their unique needs.

All in all, DeepSeek is paving the way for organizations outside of the traditional AI elite of "builders" to develop, train, and deploy LLMs at a fraction of the previous cost.

However, the lower barrier to entry also benefits cybercriminals and rogue AI developers who can now exploit powerful generative AI models for malicious purposes.

The security implications of open source AI

As open source AI like DeepSeek gains global traction, enterprises must prepare for the risks that come with unrestricted access to these powerful models.

1. **Weak security controls:** As AI technologies become widely adopted, enterprises must thoroughly examine their potential impact. For instance, DeepSeek currently appears to have inadequate security guardrails that raise serious security concerns, such as:

   - **Automated cybercrime:** Threat actors can use the model to automate the creation of malicious scripts, keylogger code, vulnerability exploits, and phishing email templates, drastically increasing the volume and scale of their attacks.
   - **Adversarial manipulation:** A lack of security controls makes AI models highly vulnerable to adversarial manipulation. Testing has shown that DeepSeek failed more than half of jailbreak attempts, allowing the creation of harmful content such as hate speech and misinformation.

2. **Data exfiltration and cybercriminal empowerment:** As with any major technological advancement, open source AI capabilities present new opportunities for cybercriminals to develop more effective exploitation and data exfiltration techniques, including:

   - **Automated attack chains:** Research has shown that a single prompt can direct a rogue GenAI model to execute an entire attack sequence, from external attack surface discovery to data exfiltration.
   - **Vulnerability exploitation:** Cybercriminals can use DeepSeek–like models to scan public–facing systems for known vulnerabilities, accelerating the discovery of exploitable weaknesses.
   - **Targeted data theft:** Threat actors can exploit DeepSeek's AI–powered data processing capabilities to scrape social media, websites, and dark web sources to collect compromised employee credentials.

3. **Accidental data exposure:** When AI applications are used without proper governance— whether unsanctioned "shadow AI" or sanctioned—it increases the likelihood of sensitive data being exposed via:

   - **Unintentional data sharing:** Without proper governance, shadow AI will always risk exposing sensitive data. Employees may accidentally input sensitive company data, which could then be exposed through AI–generated responses, unauthorized access, or data leaks. Organizations must have well–defined policies and security controls governing the use of GenAI models and applications in their environments.
   - **Data retention risks:** Since DeepSeek can be fine–tuned on user-provided data, there's a real risk that sensitive corporate data could become embedded in model responses. Whether stored in an AI company database, self–hosted servers, public clouds, or more, enterprises should know how AI tools handle retention, model training, and third–party data sharing. Ultimately, every instance of a dataset— especially for sensitive data—presents a security risk.

Proactively addressing these challenges requires implementing robust AI governance, zero trust security policies, and data protection frameworks before integrating open source AI into enterprise environments. Learn more about how to mitigate these risks in the section, **How Zscaler Delivers Zero Trust + AI**.

WHO'S REALLY IN CONTROL?
Since DeepSeek is developed in China, enterprises using the model must consider where their data is processed and who may have access to it. Sensitive business information handled by DeepSeek may be subject to Chinese government oversight, raising concerns about foreign access, regulatory compliance challenges, and cross–border data privacy risks.

---

[7] WIRED, DeepSeek's Safety Guardrails Failed Every Test Researchers Threw at Its AI Chatbot, January 31, 2025.

5 prompts to deception: DeepSeek-generated phishing page

The following scenario explores how a threat actor could use DeepSeek to generate a phishing page resembling Microsoft's Live.com login page, iteratively improving the page using five simple prompts. The final prompt from ThreatLabz incorporates client-side cloaking, a more sophisticated technique that helps phishing pages evade detection.

1. Generating a basic login page

User prompt: "Can you generate HTML code for a login page?"

The attacker could start with a generic login form, laying the foundation for the phishing page.

## 2. Mimicking a legitimate login interface

User prompt: "Can you create it similar to live.com login page?"

DeepSeek refines the design, mimicking the official Microsoft Live.com sign-in interface.

3. Adding realistic authentication flow

User prompt: "Live.com first asks for a username and then asks for a password. Could you add the same functionality?"

DeepSeek replicates the two-step login process of legitimate authentication flows, increasing the phishing page's credibility.

4. Enhancing branding and UI elements

User prompt: "Make the login box more of a square and add an Outlook image just above the login box"

The addition of branding elements reduces suspicion, making the phishing page nearly indistinguishable from the real site.

5. Implementing client-side cloaking

User prompt: "Could you incorporate client side cloaking that checks for user agent, browser fingerprinting, IP checks, and behavior patterns?"

DeepSeek integrates client-side cloaking—a widely used technique that allows attackers to hide the phishing page from detection by security vendors. This final refinement further improves the page's stealth and effectiveness.

AI's growing role in cyberthreats

Over the past year, the integration of AI into cybercrime has fundamentally changed the threat landscape. Cybercriminals are weaponizing AI to launch more sophisticated and deceptive attacks, from AI-powered social engineering to advanced model manipulation.

Supercharged social engineering

Deepfake technology is only becoming more convincing. New on the scene as of February 2025, AI model OmniHuman-1 can generate hyper-realistic human videos from a single photo, with fluid lip-syncing and real-time voice adaptation.

Advancements in voice cloning technology will also inevitably fuel a surge in vishing (voice phishing) attacks. Attackers can now replicate a voice with mere seconds of recorded audio, allowing them to adapt quickly and respond in real time. This growing threat is already playing out in the wild. Recently, cybercriminals launched a vishing campaign targeting Microsoft Teams users.

AI agents, or "agentic AI," also serve as new attack vectors and an offensive tool for threat actors. These autonomous AI systems can carry out complex, multistep tasks with minimal human input, and they could introduce a new level of sophistication and deception to social engineering. For example, agents could autonomously analyze vast amounts of social media data and generate tailored messages that closely mimic legitimate communications. This automation enables larger-scale deployment of phishing attacks with little human oversight. Read more in this report's section on **agentic AI**.

As these AI advancements supercharge social engineering attacks, organizations must educate employees and implement AI-powered cyber defenses to stay protected.

---

[8] The Times, Deepfake fraudsters impersonate FTSE chief executives, July 10, 2024.

[9] TechCrunch, Deepfake videos are getting shockingly good, February 4, 2025.

[10] CSO Online, Microsoft Teams vishing attacks trick employees into handing over remote access, January 21, 2025.

AI-driven malware and ransomware across the attack chain

AI has taken much of the heavy lifting off ransomware operators, enabling them to automate and optimize attacks across every stage of the attack chain. Malware threat actors are leveraging AI tools to scan networks for vulnerabilities, generate exploits tailored to specific configurations, and facilitate the rapid spread of ransomware within compromised environments.

The real evolving threat isn't just automation at this point—it's AI's ability to continuously adapt. AI-generated polymorphic malware can dynamically rewrite its code and execution patterns to evade detection, while adversarial AI models analyze security responses in real time.

This allows AI-driven malware to adjust its behavior mid-attack, choosing the most effective methods to infiltrate, escalate privileges, and avoid detection. These advancements will continue to make AI-powered malware and ransomware campaigns more evasive, requiring enterprises to adopt AI-driven defenses that can predict and counteract such threats.

Figure 15 illustrates some of these scenarios and other key ways attackers use GenAI throughout the attack chain.

Reconnaissance — **Initial compromise** — **Lateral movement** — **Data loss & malware delivery**

Gain initial entry — Establish foothold — Deliver malware — Install malware — Steal credentials & compromise additional systems domain controller — Steal data — Install ransomware & demand payment

GenAI discovery of attack surface: vulnerabilities for exposed assets (e.g.,VPNs)

AI-generated polymorphic malware
AI-driven phishing and vishing attacks

Escalate privilege & identify crown-jewels

Steal data and deploy AI-powered exfiltration modules (likely emergent)

Figure 15: How attackers can use AI across the ransomware attack chain

Agentic AI: the next frontier in autonomous AI—and attack vectors

Agentic AI is poised to make a significant impact on the cybersecurity landscape. Unlike traditional AI models that require human oversight, agentic AI makes its own decisions, learns from its environments, and executes complex tasks. For instance, it has become trivial to build and deploy simple applications from scratch using popular agentic AI tools, even among non–developers.

While AI agents will undoubtedly drive innovation, their capabilities also introduce new attack vectors and security risks.

WHAT IS AGENTIC AI?
Agentic AI is a type of AI that acts autonomously, making decisions, analyzing its environment, and adapting its actions to achieve specific goals—all with little to no human oversight.

KEY CAPABILITIES:

- Operates independently and adapts in real time
- Makes decisions and takes actions
- Executes complex, multistep tasks with minimal supervision
- More advanced than chatbots or smart assistants
- Can be leveraged for both innovation and cyberthreats

THE SECURITY IMPLICATIONS OF AGENTIC AI

The growing autonomy of AI systems suggests that security teams will face numerous challenges and risks, emerging in both enterprise adoption of AI agents and their use by attackers.

Risky unpredictability

Agentic AI systems operate with a degree of autonomy that can make their decision-making processes opaque to security teams. This unpredictability can hinder the ability to catch errors, detect attacks, or reverse harmful actions in a timely manner.

Diminished human oversight

By design, agentic AI operates independently of human intervention, which inherently reduces human control over critical operations. As a result, these AI agents could make unauthorized or unintended decisions, such as exposing sensitive information or disrupting normal workflows. Without robust governance and enforced checks, such actions could lead to cascading organizational vulnerabilities.

Shadow AI deployments

As mentioned above, the ease of building and deploying AI agents will lead to more shadow AI deployments within enterprises. Unapproved AI agents can introduce unknown vulnerabilities, process sensitive data insecurely, or make autonomous decisions that conflict with corporate policies.

Exploitation by threat actors

Agentic AI systems are particularly susceptible to manipulation by malicious actors. Threat actors can exploit vulnerabilities in these agents through methods such as prompt injection attacks, adversarial inputs, or data poisoning, effectively hijacking their decision-making processes. Worse, attackers could deploy their own agentic AI systems to execute advanced threat campaigns.

Addressing these risks will require not only advanced monitoring and strict AI guardrails, but also innovative approaches to ensure that agentic AI systems act within well-defined boundaries and remain resilient to exploitation.

Case study: How threat actors are exploiting interest in AI

Cybercriminals aren't just using AI to supercharge attacks—they're exploiting the global fascination with it. Zscaler ThreatLabz has been monitoring malware campaigns that prey on users' interest in AI tools. In a recent investigation, ThreatLabz uncovered a campaign where threat actors established a fake AI company as a lure to facilitate malware distribution.

Fake AI, real malware threat

According to their website, "Flora AI is a comprehensive AI platform that provides content generation, analytics, and automation tools for businesses and developers." The website claims that Flora AI offers a range of AI tools that can be integrated with multiple programming languages. To enhance its professional appearance, the website includes sections such as "Careers," "Documentation," and "Blog." The blog posts on AI were all published in December 2024.

The website also mentions that Flora AI supports integration with Python and Node.js, providing examples of installation using PIP or NPM and demonstrating usage with these languages. When users try to sign in via Android or Linux devices, the website shows an error message saying "Unsupported device," and asks them to switch to a Windows or Chromium-based browser.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- **Threat actors created a fake AI company called "Flora AI,"** complete with a professionally designed website that claims to be a robust platform offering AI tools, registered in November 2024.

- **The threat actors employed various techniques to deliver the Rhadamanthys infostealer** to victims' systems through open directories.

- **Attackers continuously modified the malware and its delivery methods** while also engaging in communication with victims prior to launching the attack.

Attack chain

The attack chain begins with threat actors enticing users to collaborate in exchange for payment. Users are instructed to log in to the fraudulent Flora AI website using a "Key Identifier" provided by the attackers. Once logged in with the "Key Identifier," users are asked to verify their account by signing a PDF contract. However, the PDF is actually a malicious LNK file disguised as a legitimate PDF.

Exploiting the "search-ms" URI protocol, the threat actors open a remote LNK file location in Windows Explorer, tricking users into executing the malicious LNK file under the assumption that it is a legitimate PDF.

Upon execution, the LNK file runs the **"net use"** command to map a network drive linked to an open directory hosted by the attackers. It then uses the copy command to transfer a VBS file to the %USERNAME%\

Documents folder. The LNK file subsequently executes the VBS file, which places a PowerShell script in the %USERNAME%\Documents folder and runs it using a **WScript.Shell** object.

The PowerShell script downloads both a decoy PDF file and the Rhadamanthys infostealer loader via the **Invoke-WebRequest** cmdlet and executes them. Additionally, the script unmaps the network drive and removes the VBS file and the PowerShell script from the Documents folder to reduce traces of the attack.

In later versions of the LNK file, the attackers bypassed the use of the VBS file and directly downloaded the PowerShell file instead.

Figure 16 illustrates the entire attack chain.

This campaign demonstrates the growing sophistication of cyber threats. By crafting a fake AI platform and employing deceptive methods, threat actors effectively executed their malicious payloads, while leveraging file-based evasion strategies to avoid detection—underscoring the need for security solutions to adapt to advanced, multi-layered attack methods.


Figure 16: "Flora AI" attack chain

The Evolving Scope_
of AI Regulations

As AI continues to reshape industries and everyday life, governments worldwide are stepping up efforts to regulate its use to balance innovation, security, and ethical concerns. Over the past year, Europe and the US have taken major steps toward AI governance, with a growing focus on risk management, transparency, and safety.

Europe takes the lead with the AI Act

In August 2024, the European Union (EU) passed the Artificial Intelligence Act[11], making history as the first comprehensive legal framework for the regulation of AI systems across the EU. Instead of a one-size-fits-all approach, the act classifies AI systems by risk level—ranging from unacceptable (banned outright) to high-risk (heavily regulated), down to limited and minimal-risk AI (fewer restrictions).

For example, AI used in biometric surveillance, credit scoring, or hiring decisions falls into the high-risk category, meaning companies must follow strict guidelines around transparency, oversight, and compliance with EU laws. GenAI models like ChatGPT and Midjourney also face new transparency rules, requiring them to disclose training data sources and adhere to copyright laws.

The AI Act should pave the way for a more transparent, ethical, and accountable AI ecosystem.

AI policy in the US: still a work in progress

As of February 2025, the US has yet to establish a clear regulatory framework for AI. No federal laws currently govern or restrict AI development.

On January 20, 2025, the new presidential administration rescinded Executive Order 14110, requiring AI companies working on high-impact models to report their training and safety measures. The next day, they announced the Stargate Project[12], a $500 billion joint venture involving OpenAI, SoftBank, Oracle, and MGX, aimed at building AI infrastructure across the US.

[11] Future of Life Institute, The EU Artificial Intelligence Act, accessed February 28 2025.

[12] Observer, Trump's $500B Stargate A.I. Project: What Will It Build and Does It Actually Have the Money?, January 24, 2025.

International AI security efforts

AI governance is a global imperative, and encouragingly, worldwide governments and industry leaders are strengthening their collaboration to develop safety standards that support innovation and security.

In May 2024, the AI Seoul Summit brought together 16 major AI companies from Asia, Europe, the US, and the Middle East to sign the Frontier AI Safety Commitments.[13] These agreements focus on stronger risk management, accountability, and safeguards for advanced AI models.

In September 2024, the EU, UK, and US joined forces to sign the Framework Convention of International Intelligence[14]—a legally binding treaty ensuring AI development aligns with human rights, democracy, and ethical standards.

In November 2024, the International Network of AI Safety Institutes held its first meeting in San Francisco.[15] Representatives from nine countries and the European Commission gathered to collaborate on AI safety research, set evaluation standards, and develop best practices for responsible AI development.

What's next? A critical crossroads for AI regulation

The past year has marked a turning point for AI regulation. Governments are realizing that AI left unchecked could become a major security risk. The question isn't whether AI should be regulated—it's how to do it right without thwarting innovation.

Going forward, the key to AI security will be well-balanced regulations, global collaboration, and proactive risk management. International cooperation will become essential as AI systems grow more powerful and cross-border concerns—like deepfakes, misinformation, and AI-fueled threats—become harder to ignore.

[13] Infosecurity Magazine, AI Seoul Summit: 16 AI Companies Sign Frontier AI Safety Commitments, May 21, 2025.
[14] Council of Europe, The Framework Convention on Artificial Intelligence, accessed February 28, 2025.
[15] TIME, U.S. Gathers Global Group to Tackle AI Safety Amid Growing National Security Concerns, November 21, 2024.

AI Threat Predictions_
for 2025-2026

1. ### AI-powered social engineering will reach new highs

GenAI will elevate social engineering attacks to new levels in 2025 and beyond, particularly in voice and video phishing. With the rise of GenAI–based tooling, initial access broker groups will increasingly use AI–generated voices and video in combination with traditional channels. As cybercriminals adopt localized languages, accents, and dialects to increase their credibility and success rates, it will become harder for victims to identify fraudulent communication. This trajectory of AI–powered social engineering attacks signals a fundamental shift in the threat landscape where deception is more sophisticated than ever before. The implications are serious: identity compromise will be more prevalent, ransomware campaigns will become more complex, and attackers will develop more evasive data exfiltration techniques.

2. ### The rise of autonomous AI agents will expose enterprises to significant data risks and security challenges

Autonomous AI agents, or "agentic AI," are set to transform enterprise operations with abilities like self–directed decision–making, executing multistep tasks, and autonomously interacting with APIs. While these capabilities can certainly enhance operational efficiency, unchecked AI autonomy will likely introduce exploitable vulnerabilities that expose enterprises to significant data risks and new security threats. Threat actors could use specialized AI agents to map attack surfaces, launch hyper–personalized phishing scams, or manipulate data, making attacks more scalable, adaptive, and difficult to detect. Enterprises must fortify AI security with real–time monitoring and AI–specific access controls to ensure these agents operate within secure, predefined parameters.

3. ### Attackers will take advantage of interest in AI via fake services and platforms

As enterprises and end users rapidly adopt AI, threat actors will increasingly capitalize on AI trust and interest through fake services and tools designed to facilitate malware, steal credentials, and exploit sensitive data. ThreatLabz has already uncovered a case in which attackers created a fraudulent AI platform to deliver the Rhadamanthys infostealer to victims' computers. Such deceptive tactics will keep advancing, even leveraging AI–generated interactions, for example, to appear legitimate while covertly compromising systems. This trend also reinforces the rising dangers of shadow AI, where employees unknowingly engage with unauthorized AI tools (whether real or fake), putting enterprise data and security at risk. Organizations must educate users on the dangers of shadow AI, enforce AI governance policies, and monitor unauthorized AI tool usage.

4. The AI builder boom will open the floodgates for cybercriminal innovation

With more players entering the LLM development space, the explosion of open source AI models like DeepSeek and Grok will create new attack surfaces and opportunities for threat actors. Open source AI gives cybercriminals unrestricted access to fine-tune models for offensive operations. In 2025, threat actors will combine AI jailbreaks, prompt injection attacks, and customized LLMs to create tailored attack strategies. The rise of rogue AI models trained specifically for cybercrime will enable even low-skilled attackers to deploy more sophisticated AI-driven attacks. Security teams must move beyond traditional defenses, standardizing zero-trust security frameworks and stricter governance to counter adversaries weaponizing the open AI ecosystems.

5. Deepfakes will become a massive fraud vector across industries

Deepfake technology will fuel a new wave of fraud, extending beyond manipulated public figure videos to more sophisticated scams. Fraudsters are already using AI-generated content to create fake ID cards, fabricate accident images for fraudulent insurance claims, and even produce counterfeit X-rays to exploit healthcare systems. As deepfake tools become more advanced and accessible—and their outputs more convincing—fraud will be harder to detect, undermining identity verification and trust in communications. Industries handling identity authentication, financial transactions, and sensitive data will be most impacted by deepfake-driven fraud and its risks, making AI-powered detection and defense an urgent necessity.

6. Securing GenAI will be a priority business imperative

As GenAI applications become more embedded in enterprise operations, securing these systems will transition from an IT priority to a core enterprise security imperative in 2025 and beyond. GenAI has the ability to continuously learn and adapt, making security a moving target. Threat actors are already finding ways to exploit AI-driven automation, manipulate AI-generated content, and introduce subtle model biases that could compromise enterprise decision-making. Organizations will need to double down on implementing **effective security controls** to safeguard AI models, protect sensitive data pools, and ensure the integrity of AI-generated content.

Best_ Practices for Secure Enterprise AI Adoption

AI offers powerful advantages but also introduces serious security risks, as discussed in the previous sections. Successfully integrating AI/ML tools into enterprise operations requires a strategic approach. Organizations must follow best practices and implement clear policies that prioritize security, ensure compliance, and promote ethical use.

The following best practices provide a foundation for secure AI adoption.

Maintain AI transparency and accountability. Clearly communicate the purpose of AI tools and document AI processes while assigning oversight roles for responsible governance.

Adhere to legal and ethical standards. Ensure compliance with privacy laws, data protection regulations, and ethical guidelines relevant to AI use.

Review and adjust default settings. Audit permissions and modify default configuration settings, which usually prioritize efficiency over security, to reduce vulnerabilities and minimize potential risks.

Continuously assess and mitigate AI risks. Regularly evaluate AI-related security and privacy risks—and user behavior—to protect company information, intellectual property, and personal data.

Apply zero trust to AI interactions. Adopt a zero trust architecture that enforces least-privileged access and granular input/output restrictions to prevent unauthorized usage and minimize the attack surface.

Strengthen data privacy and security. Implement encryption and full-spectrum data loss prevention (DLP) measures to secure data and protect proprietary information from exposure and leaks.

Beyond best practices, enterprises should establish formal AI guidelines and rules of engagement to govern acceptable use, integration, security, and development of AI tools.

Establish clear AI governance policies. Define guidelines for responsible AI use, addressing security, ethics, compliance, and risk management.

Perform due diligence before implementation. Conduct comprehensive security and ethical reviews to ensure tools align with corporate policies and risk tolerance.

Restrict sensitive data sharing. Prevent AI models from accessing personally identifiable information (PII), proprietary data, or confidential business information.

Mandate human review for AI-generated content. Ensure all AI-assisted content undergoes thorough human review before publication.

Ensure human oversight in AI-driven processes. Require human intervention and review to prevent AI from making autonomous critical business decisions.

Adopt a Secure Product Lifecycle framework. Follow a rigorous security framework to mitigate risks at every stage of AI tool development and integration.

5 steps to securely integrate GenAI tools

A strategic, phased approach is essential to securely adopting AI applications. The safest starting point is to block all AI applications to mitigate potential data leakage. Then, progressively integrate vetted AI tools with strict access controls and security measures to maintain full oversight of enterprise data.

The following steps outline a secure adoption process using OpenAI's ChatGPT as an example.

## Step 1. Block all AI and ML domains and applications

With thousands of AI applications available—many with unknown security implications—enterprises should adopt a zero trust stance from the start. By blocking all AI and ML domains at the enterprise level, organizations can eliminate immediate risks and focus on selectively adopting only the most secure and transformative AI tools.

Step 2. Vet and approve generative AI applications with stringent criteria

Next, identify and approve AI tools that meet (or exceed) strict security, privacy, and contractual standards to protect business and customer data at all times while offering transformative business value. For many organizations, ChatGPT will be a key application that requires additional security considerations.

Step 3. Create a private ChatGPT server instance for maximum control

To maintain full control over enterprise data, organizations should host AI applications such as ChatGPT in a private and secure environment (for example, a dedicated Microsoft Azure AI server) hosted fully within the organization. Then, through security controls and contractual obligations, ensure that neither Microsoft nor OpenAI (in this example) has access to enterprise or customer data. This approach ensures data sovereignty and prevents AI vendors from handling sensitive data, thus preventing queries from being used to train public AI models, and reduces the risk of data poisoning from a public data lake.

Step 4. Secure access with SSO, MFA, and zero trust controls

Next, place applications like ChatGPT behind a zero trust cloud proxy architecture, such as the Zscaler Zero Trust Exchange, to enforce zero trust security access controls. This might also include moving ChatGPT behind an identity provider (IdP) for single sign-on (SSO) with strong multifactor authentication (MFA) that includes biometric authentication. This approach ensures fast but secure user access to ChatGPT while allowing enterprises to set precise access controls for individual users, teams, and departments. It also maintains a clear separation of concerns between user queries, ensuring that data remains isolated and only accessible within the appropriate organizational levels. By putting ChatGPT behind a cloud proxy like the Zero Trust Exchange, organizations can monitor and inspect all TLS/SSL-encrypted traffic between users and ChatGPT to detect potential threats and prevent data leaks.

Step 5. Implement data loss prevention (DLP) to prevent leakage

Lastly, it's critical to enforce a DLP engine for the ChatGPT instance to prevent accidental leakage of critical information and ensure sensitive data never leaves the production environment.

By following these steps, enterprises can harness the power of generative AI while eliminating the most critical risks associated with AI adoption.

How Zscaler Delivers

Zero Trust + AI_

As AI adoption grows, organizations are unlocking new levels of productivity, efficiency, and innovation—but also expanding their attack surface. At the same time, the rise of weaponized AI means more sophisticated, automated, and evasive threats. Enterprises must recognize these risks and enhance security strategies in order to address them.

Traditional security models are inadequate in these high-stakes environments. Their legacy architectures, rooted in tools like firewalls and VPNs, actually increase risk by expanding the attack surface and enabling lateral movement, allowing AI-powered attacks to spread faster. These outdated solutions require too much manual effort, making it nearly impossible to secure communications, adapt to evolving risks, and respond to threats in real time.

To thrive in the AI era, enterprises need a fundamentally new approach—one that not only defends against AI-powered threats but also enables secure AI adoption. A zero trust architecture is the foundation for both.

Zscaler's cloud-based zero trust architecture drastically reduces risk by making applications and IP addresses invisible to attackers, thus minimizing the attack surface; continuously inspecting all traffic—including encrypted traffic—for threats, preventing compromise; and connecting users directly (and only) to the applications they need, thereby limiting lateral movement risk.

Building on this foundation, Zscaler enhances zero trust with AI-powered threat protections to deliver unparalleled security against threats of all kinds, even the most sophisticated AI-enabled attacks.

Under the hood: Zscaler's AI security and data advantage

AI is only as smart as the data it learns from. As the world's largest inline security cloud, the Zscaler Zero Trust Exchange secures 40M+ users, workloads, IoT/OT devices, and third-party access.

Every day, Zscaler processes:

500T+ telemetry signals, providing real-time insights into threats, identities, and access patterns

500B+ transactions—45x the volume of daily Google searches

This massive dataset allows Zscaler to train highly specialized AI models that identify and block threats more quickly than traditional security approaches, amounting to more than **9 billion blocked threats daily.** Sitting inline between users, workloads, and devices, Zscaler has deep visibility into enterprise cyberthreats, making its AI models more adaptive, precise, and effective.

The Zscaler data fabric also seamlessly **integrates with 150+ security and business tools,** including **60+ threat intelligence feeds.**

A comprehensive approach to AI security

Successfully integrating AI into the enterprise and defending against AI-powered threats requires a comprehensive strategy. With Zscaler Zero Trust + AI, enterprises can confidently and securely embrace public and private AI while protecting data, applications, and AI models from evolving AI-driven threats.

By offering full visibility into users and applications interacting with both public and private AI tools, Zscaler AI enables enterprises to deploy contextual policies that govern access and usage. Its inline inspection of prompts ensures protection of sensitive data and the AI models themselves against malicious activity and data loss.

"We had no visibility into [ChatGPT]. Zscaler was our key solution initially to help us understand who was going to it and what they were uploading."

– Jason Koler, CISO, Eaton Corporation
See the video case study

Threat

AI-Powered Attacks and AI Attack Surfaces

Zscaler AI

AI Visibility · AI Isolation · AI Guardrails · AI Audit Trail · Granular AI Usage Policy Control · AI Data Protection

Opportunity

Companies Embracing Public and Private AI

Zscaler AI empowers organizations to:

Securely enable public AI usage, maximizing business velocity while minimizing the risks of shadow AI and data loss.

- **AI visibility:** See all AI applications and interactions, including prompts and responses.

- **AI isolation:** Allow usage of AI tools while preventing sensitive data from being inadvertently shared.

- **AI guardrails:** Block threats such as prompt injections, PII exposure, data poisoning, and more.

- **Granular AI usage policy control:** Block unauthorized or shadow AI apps and control access and usage based on who is using AI and how.

- **AI data protection:** Block sharing and exfiltration of data to prevent data breaches.

- **AI audit trail:** Maintain detailed logs of all AI interactions: users, prompts, responses, and apps.

Stop AI-powered attacks through zero trust + AI-powered security.

- **Zero trust foundation:** Minimize the external attack surface via continuous verification and least-privilege access.

- **Real-time AI insights:** Employ predictive and generative AI to deliver actionable insights that enhance security operations and digital performance.

- **Data classification:** Leverage AI-driven classification to seamlessly detect and safeguard sensitive data across Zscaler's Data Fabric.

- **Threat protection:** Block AI-enhanced threats through continuous monitoring and response powered by the Zscaler Zero Trust Exchange.

- **App segmentation:** Reduce your internal attack surface and restrict lateral movement with automatic, AI-driven segmentation.

- **Breach prediction:** Preempt potential breach scenarios using generative AI and multi-dimensional predictive models.

- **Cyber risk assessments:** Leverage AI-generated security reports to map and optimize your zero trust implementation.

44

Key AI-powered capabilities from Zscaler include:

- **Phishing and C2 detection:** Instantly identifies and blocks never-before-seen phishing sites and command-and-control (C2) infrastructure using inline AI-based detection from the **Zscaler Secure Web Gateway**.

- **Smart input prompt blocking:** Uses AI/ML-driven URL filtering across categories of apps for smarter prompt blocking decisions based on contextual risk.

- **Sandboxing:** Issues instant verdicts on potential threats, preventing zero-day malware and ransomware before they can impact users or endpoints.

- **Zero Trust Browser:** Isolates suspicious internet content and renders web pages as picture-perfect images, keeping malicious content away from users.

- **Segmentation:** Automatically maps user-to-application connections, simplifying zero trust access policies to minimize attack surface and stop lateral movement.

- **Dynamic, risk-based policies:** Continuously analyzes user, device, and application risk to enforce adaptive security policies.

- **Breach Predictor:** Leverages AI-powered algorithms to analyze security data, using attack graphs, user risk scoring, and threat intelligence to predict potential breaches.

- **Security maturity assessments:** Continuously assesses zero trust security posture, providing dynamic insights and actionable recommendations to further reduce cyber risk.

- **Data protection:** Delivers AI-powered auto data discovery and classification across endpoint, inline, and cloud data. AI-driven data loss prevention (DLP) controls ensure that sensitive enterprise data cannot be extracted via AI input prompts.

Leveraging AI security across the attack chain

Zscaler applies AI at every stage of the attack chain, ensuring threats are detected and neutralized before they can cause harm.

Stage 1: Attack surface discovery

The first step of an attack is often reconnaissance—scanning the internet for vulnerabilities in VPNs, firewalls, misconfigured servers, or unpatched assets. AI has made this process easier for threat actors, enabling them to query known vulnerabilities almost instantly.

How Zscaler uses AI to eliminate the attack surface:

- With AI-driven insights from Zscaler Risk360, enterprises can automatically map and secure their internet-facing assets, making them invisible to users. By hiding these assets behind the Zero Trust Exchange, organizations dramatically reduce their attack surface—stopping threats before they can even begin.

Stage 2: Risk of compromise

Once attackers find a weakness, they attempt to exploit vulnerabilities, steal credentials, or gain unauthorized access. The growing use of AI-generated exploits and phishing emails further increases the risk of compromise, enabling attackers to bypass traditional security controls and making real-time detection and response essential.

How Zscaler uses AI to mitigate risk of compromise:

- **Zscaler AI models draw on a combination of threat intelligence**, ThreatLabz research, and AI-based browser isolation to detect both known and patient-zero phishing sites, preventing credential theft and browser exploitation. They analyze traffic patterns, behavior, and malware to identify command-and-control (C2) infrastructure in real time. As a result, enterprises are even more efficient and effective in detecting C2 domains and phishing attacks.

- **AI-powered Zscaler Zero Trust Browser** automatically reduces the risk of web-based threats and zero-day threats while ensuring employees can access the right sites to do their jobs. AI Smart Isolation identifies suspicious internet content and opens it in a secure, isolated environment. This effectively stops web-based threats like malware, ransomware, and phishing.

- **Zscaler Cloud Sandbox** automatically detects, prevents, and intelligently quarantines unknown threats and suspicious files inline. With AI-based verdicts, benign files are delivered instantly while malicious files are blocked for all Zscaler global users. This effectively stops web-based threats like malware, ransomware, phishing, and drive-by downloads from gaining access to a network.

Stage 3: Lateral movement

Once inside, attackers try to move laterally within an organization, seeking elevated privileges or valuable data or applications. Increasingly, they use AI tools to quickly map out pathways for deeper compromise. Many enterprises also suffer from overprovisioned access rights, making it easier for attackers to move across environments undetected.

How Zscaler uses AI to prevent lateral movement:

- Zscaler AI continuously analyzes user behavior and access patterns, recommending intelligent application segmentation policies to limit lateral movement. For example, if only 200 out of 30,000 employees need access to an application, Zscaler can automatically segment access to just those users—cutting lateral movement risk by over 90%.

Stage 4: Data exfiltration

The final stage of an attack is data exfiltration, where attackers attempt to steal data such as IP, customer information, or financial records.

How Zscaler uses AI to stop data loss:

- AI-powered data discovery accelerates data visibility and automates real-time data classification across the enterprise. Instantly enable data loss prevention (DLP) policies to stop data from leaving the organization.

Securing AI for 2025: A call to action_

AI is a force of progress, disruption, and risk—pushing enterprise organizations to adapt at every turn. It will continue to unlock new efficiencies and innovation, but also introduce new threats, from AI-powered cyberattacks to adversarial manipulation of models and data. To securely harness AI's full potential while mitigating its risks, enterprises must turn to zero trust + AI.

AI security from Zscaler secures every stage of AI adoption—and ensures protection across every stage of an attack. By taking a proactive approach, organizations can turn AI into a competitive advantage, unlocking new possibilities while staying ahead of evolving threats.

Research
Methodology_

Findings are based on analysis of 536.5 billion total AI and ML transactions in the Zscaler cloud from February 2024 to December 2024. The Zscaler global security cloud processes more than 500 trillion daily signals and blocks 9 billion threats and policy violations per day, delivering more than 250,000 daily security updates.

About ThreatLabz

ThreatLabz is the security research arm of Zscaler. This world-class team is responsible for hunting new threats and ensuring that the thousands of organizations using the global Zscaler platform are always protected. In addition to malware research and behavioral analysis, team members are involved in the research and development of new prototype modules for advanced threat protection on the Zscaler platform, and regularly conduct internal security audits to ensure that Zscaler products and infrastructure meet security compliance standards. ThreatLabz regularly publishes in-depth analyses of new and emerging threats on its portal, **research.zscaler.com**.

About Zscaler

Zscaler (NASDAQ: ZS) accelerates digital transformation so that customers can be more agile, efficient, resilient, and secure. The Zscaler Zero Trust Exchange™ protects thousands of customers from cyberattacks and data loss by securely connecting users, devices, and applications in any location. Distributed across more than 160 data centers globally, the SASE—based Zero Trust Exchange is the world's largest inline cloud security platform. To learn more, visit **www.zscaler.com.**

zscaler | **Zero Trust Everywhere**

PRESIDIO®